UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/780,718	02/19/2004	Takashi Akita	2004_0240A	3209
		7590 02/09/200 I, LIND & PONACK, I		EXAM	INER
	2033 K STREE SUITE 800	T N. W.		KIM, DA	AVID S
		N, DC 20006-1021		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2613	
•		,			
l	SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
	3 MO	NTHS	02/09/2007	PAP	ER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		9	<i>Y</i>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/780,718	AKITA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David S. Kim	2613	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rd will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	February 2004.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•	• •	ts is
closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdreds 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 19 February 2004 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ ne drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list. 	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have beer au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No I received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed on 19 February 2004 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but all of the information referred to therein has not been considered. In particular, there was no copy of the Japanese Patent Laid-Open Publication No. 57-37941.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the following features must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

In independent claims 1 and 10, notice the following limitations:

(claim 1) "an electrical signal receiving unit...converting the electrical signal into a binary optical digital signal synchronized with the clock supplied from the clock supplying unit" (emphasis Examiner's) and

(claim 10) "converting a binary optical digital signal input from the optical data transmission system into a multi-level electrical analog signal synchronized with the recovered clock" and

"causing a multi-level electrical analog signal input from the electrical data transmission system to **synchronize with the recovered clock**" (emphasis Examiner's).

The emphasized limitations suggest that Figs. 6-9 should show "electrical signal receiving unit" 80 with some input from "clock selecting unit" 93. Otherwise, the drawings do not show the emphasized limitations above of independent claims 1 and 10. As a remedy, Examiner respectfully suggests drawing amendments that reflect the end of Applicant's paragraph [0044], which notes that the "processes by the digital filter 82 and the evaluating unit 84 are basically performed in accordance with the clock output from the clock selecting unit 93".

3. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2613

Kato et al. as primary reference

6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2002/0021468 A1, hereinafter "Kato") in view of the admitted prior art (hereinafter the "APA").

Regarding claim 1, Kato discloses:

An optical/electrical converting device for connecting an optical data transmission system, which is composed of apparatuses performing data communication by an optical signal, and an electrical data transmission system, which is composed of apparatuses performing data communication by an electrical signal, and performing data communication between the systems, the device comprising:

a clock supplying unit (Fig. 2, e.g., 132) for supplying a clock synchronized with a reference clock (paragraph [0041]) which is held by a master apparatus included in either system;

an electrical signal transmitting unit (110) for inputting an optical signal from the optical data transmission system, converting (Fig. 3, 22) the optical signal into an electrical signal synchronized with the clock supplied from the clock supplying unit (Fig. 2, signals DTr are synchronized with CK), and outputting the electrical signal to the electrical data transmission system (Fig. 3, output from 110); and

an electrical signal receiving unit (120) for inputting an electrical signal from the electrical data transmission system, converting (Fig. 3, 42) the electrical signal into an optical signal synchronized with the clock supplied from the clock supplying unit (Fig. 3, signals from 42 are synchronized with CK when CK is selected by 162), and outputting the optical signal to the optical data transmission system (Fig. 3, output from 42).

Kato does not expressly disclose:

said optical signals being binary optical digital signals;

said electrical signals being *multi-level* electrical *analog* signals.

However, such optical signals and electrical signals are extremely well known in the art as common waveforms for optical and electrical signals, as shown by the APA (Applicant's specification,

binary optical digital signal in paragraph [0006], multi-level electrical analog signal in paragraph [0007]).

Obvious variations of Kato would include such optical and electrical signals.

Nakane as primary reference

7. **Claims 1-3** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakane (JP 05284192 A, machine-translated version).

Regarding claim 1, Nakane discloses:

An optical/electrical converting device for connecting an optical data transmission system (left side of Drawing 1 is optical), which is composed of apparatuses performing data communication by an optical signal, and an electrical data transmission system (right side of Drawing 1 is electrical), which is composed of apparatuses performing data communication by an electrical signal, and performing data communication between the systems, the device comprising:

a clock supplying unit for supplying a clock (clock selected by clock selection circuitry 124 in Drawing 1) synchronized with a reference clock (implied clock of a side, e.g., paragraph [0004]) which is held by a master apparatus (any suitable apparatus holding the implied reference clock) included in either system;

an electrical signal transmitting unit (components 101 to 106) for inputting a binary optical digital signal from the optical data transmission system, converting (102) the optical signal into a multi-level analog electrical signal (bipolar signals on 106), and outputting the electrical signal to the electrical data transmission system (output on 106); and

an electrical signal receiving unit (components 107 to 112) for inputting a multi-level electrical analog signal from the electrical data transmission system, converting (111) the electrical signal into a binary optical digital signal (unipolar signals on 112), and outputting the optical signal to the optical data transmission system (output on 112).

Nakane does not expressly disclose:

converting the optical signal into a multi-level analog electrical signal synchronized with the clock supplied from the clock supplying unit; and

converting the electrical signal into a binary optical digital signal synchronized with the clock supplied from the clock supplying unit.

However, these limitations are suggested. Notice the electrical signal that is retimed/synchronized through 104 (abstract) and the optical signal that is retimed/synchronized through 110 (abstract). These instances of retiming/synchronization suggest at least one clock to which these signals are retimed/synchronized. Also, notice that Nakane discusses the synchronization of a chosen clock of either the electrical system or the optical system ("one side" in paragraph [0005], "the clock of the side which should synchronize" in paragraph [0015]). This synchronization also suggests another clock to which this chosen clock should synchronize. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to retime/synchronize all of the signals/clocks to the clock supplied from the clock supplying unit. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to maintain signal and system synchronization between the electrical and optical sides of the apparatus. That is, notice that the apparatus of Nakane is primarily characterized as "media-conversion equipment" (Nakane, paragraph [0001]). As a primary function is simple media-conversion between an electrical medium to an optical medium, one would generally desire to maintain the integrity of characteristics of the signals that are switching between media, including characteristics of synchronization and timing. Synchronization and (re)timing according to one clock provides such maintenance of signal integrity.

Regarding claim 2, Nakane discloses:

The optical/electrical converting device according to claim 1, wherein the clock supplying unit includes:

a first clock recovery unit (103) for recovering a clock based on an optical signal input from the optical data transmission system;

a second clock recovery unit (109) for recovering a clock based on an electrical signal input from the electrical data transmission system; and

Art Unit: 2613

a clock selecting unit (124) for selecting a clock recovered by the first clock recovery unit if the master apparatus (host side in paragraph [0014] being in optical side) generating a reference clock is included in the optical data transmission system, and selecting a clock recovered by the second clock recovery unit if the master apparatus generating a reference clock is included in the electrical data transmission system (host side in paragraph [0014] being in electrical side), and

the electrical signal transmitting unit converts the optical signal input from the optical data transmission system into an electrical signal synchronized with the clock selected by the clock selecting unit (see synchronization/timing argument in the treatment of claim 1 above).

Regarding claim 3, Nakane discloses:

The optical/electrical converting device according to claim 1, wherein the clock supplying unit includes:

a first clock recovery unit (103) for recovering a clock based on an optical signal input from the optical data transmission system;

a second clock recovery unit (109) for recovering a clock based on an electrical signal input from the electrical data transmission system; and

a clock selecting unit (124) for selecting a clock recovered by the first clock recovery unit if the master apparatus (host side in paragraph [0014] being in optical side) generating a reference clock is included in the optical data transmission system, and selecting a clock recovered by the second clock recovery unit if the master apparatus generating a reference clock is included in the electrical data transmission system (host side in paragraph [0014] being in electrical side), and

the electrical signal transmitting unit converts the optical signal input from the optical data transmission system into an electrical signal, and replaces the clock recovered by the first clock recovery unit with the clock selected by the clock selecting unit (according to the synchronization/timing argument in the treatment of claim 1 above, synchronizing/(re)timing the signals and systems to one clock would obviously replace other unsynchronized clocks, such as the clock recovered by the first clock recovery unit) while maintaining synchronization (according to the synchronization/timing argument in the

Art Unit: 2613

treatment of claim 1 above, maintaining synchronization is obviously desirable to maintain the integrity of characteristics of the signals that are switching between media).

8. Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakane as applied to the claims above, and further in view of Ono et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2002/0168137 A1, hereinafter "Ono").

Regarding claim 4, Nakane discloses:

The optical/electrical converting device according to claim 1, wherein

the clock supplying unit includes:

a clock recovery unit (109) for recovering a clock based on an electrical signal input from the electrical data transmission system; and

a clock selecting unit (124) for selecting a clock recovered by the clock recovery unit if the master apparatus generating a reference clock is included in the electrical data transmission system (host side in paragraph [0014] being in electrical side), and

the electrical signal transmitting unit converts an optical signal input from the optical data transmission system into an electrical signal synchronized with the clock selected by the clock selecting unit (see synchronization/timing argument in the treatment of claim 1 above).

Nakane does not expressly disclose:

the clock selecting unit (124) for selecting a clock input from an apparatus, whose clock synchronization is already established, included in the optical data transmission system, if the master apparatus generating a reference clock is included in the optical data transmission system.

However, this limitation appears to read on an externally provided clock from the optical data transmission system, which is known in the art, as shown by Ono (Fig. 12, external clock signal). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select a clock input from any number of source locations of a desired clock signal, including an externally provided clock from the optical data transmission system, as shown by Ono (Fig. 12, various clock sources). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this since Ono shows that the location of the

Art Unit: 2613

source of a chosen reference clock can be variable and not limited to particular locations (Ono, notice the clock selection from local extraction parts, a local generating part, or an external clock signal), increasing flexibility in design and implementation.

Regarding claim 5, Nakane in view of Ono discloses:

The optical/electrical converting device according to claim 1, wherein the clock supplying unit includes:

a clock recovery unit (Nakane, 109) for recovering a clock based on an electrical signal input from the electrical data transmission system;

a clock generating unit (Ono, 128-c in Fig. 12) for generating a reference clock to which the master apparatus is locked; and

a clock selecting unit (Nakane, 124) for selecting a clock generated by the clock generating unit if the master apparatus locked by the reference clock is included in the optical data transmission system (host side in paragraph [0014] being in optical side), and selecting a clock recovered by the clock recovery unit if the master apparatus generating a reference clock is included in the electrical data transmission system (host side in paragraph [0014] being in electrical side), and

the electrical signal transmitting unit converts an optical signal input from the optical data transmission system into an electrical signal synchronized with the clock selected by the clock selecting unit (see synchronization/timing argument in the treatment of claim 1 above).

9. Claims 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakane in view of Ono as applied to the claims above, and further in view of Katta et al. (WO 02/30079, hereinafter "Katta", references are made to the English translation of Katta in corresponding U.S. Patent No. 7,133,936 B2).

Regarding claims 6-9, Nakane in view of Ono does not expressly disclose:

wherein the electrical signal receiving unit

sends an electrical signal input from the electrical data transmission system to the electrical signal transmitting unit until completion of initialization of the apparatuses composing the electrical data transmission system, and

Art Unit: 2613

after completion of the initialization of the apparatuses composing the electrical data transmission system, converts an electrical signal input from the electrical data transmission system into an optical signal synchronized with the clock selected by the clock selecting unit, and outputs the optical signal to the optical data transmission system.

However, Katta teaches these limitations as part of an initialization process of a ring network (Katta, col. 10, l. 59 – col. 11, l. 37). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement a ring network and a corresponding initialization process in the apparatus of Nakane in view of Ono. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this since Nakane in view of Ono is relatively silent about the details of the system that is connected to its electrical side, and the teachings of Katta would suitably speak into this silence. That is, a ring configuration is an extremely common way to configure a system on the electrical side of Nakane in view of Ono. Additionally, as Katta teaches a corresponding initialization process for a ring system, it follows that an obvious version of the system of Nakane in view of Ono and Katta would include some kind of initialization process so that the system would be ready to perform data communication (Katta, col. 2, l. 6-8).

10. **Claim 10** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakane in view of Katta. This rejection relies on the combination of Nakane and Katta as combined above in the treatment of claims 6-9. However, this rejection does not rely on the referenced teachings of Ono.

Regarding claim 10, Nakane in view of Katta discloses:

An optical/electrical converting method for connecting an optical data transmission system (Nakane, left side of Drawing 1 is optical), which is composed of apparatuses performing data communication by an optical signal, and an electrical data transmission system (Nakane, right side of Drawing 1 is electrical), which is composed of apparatuses performing data communication by an electrical signal, and performing data communication between the systems, comprising the steps of:

Art Unit: 2613

recovering a clock (Nakane, 103 and 124) based on an optical signal input from the optical data transmission system if a master apparatus generating a reference clock is included in the optical data transmission system (Nakane, host side in paragraph [0014] being in optical side);

recovering a clock (Nakane, 109 and 124) based on an electrical signal input from the electrical data transmission system if a master apparatus generating a reference clock is included in the electrical data transmission system (Nakane, host side in paragraph [0014] being in electrical side);

converting (Nakane, components 101 to 106) a binary optical digital signal input from the optical data transmission system into a multi-level electrical analog signal synchronized with the recovered clock (Nakane, see synchronization/timing argument in the treatment of claim 1 above), and outputting the electrical signal to the electrical data transmission system (Nakane, output on 106);

causing a multi-level electrical analog signal input from the electrical data transmission system to synchronize with the recovered clock (Nakane, see synchronization/timing argument in the treatment of claim 1 above), and outputting the electrical signal to the electrical data transmission system until completion of initialization (Katta, col. 10, l. 59 – col. 11, l. 37) of the apparatuses composing the electrical data transmission system; and

converting (Nakane, components 107 to 112) a multi-level electrical analog signal input from the electrical data transmission system into a binary optical digital signal synchronized with the recovered clock (Nakane, see synchronization/timing argument in the treatment of claim 1 above), and outputting the optical signal to the optical data transmission system after completion (Katta, col. 10, l. 59-63, col. 11, l. 23-29) of the initialization of the apparatuses composing the electrical data transmission system.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Nakamori is cited to show different ways to generate a clock signal (Figs. 3-5). Joel, Jr. is cited to show a single clock that influences the timing of signals that propagate from an electrical form to an optical form and the timing of signals that propagate from an optical form to an electrical form (Fig. 9). Yamazaki et al. is cited to show an optical/electrical converting device (Figures). Nyu et al. is cited to show an

optical/electrical converting device (Fig. 5). Coffey et al. is cited to show an optical/electrical converting device (Fig. 1).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David S. Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-3033. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9 AM to 5 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth N. Vanderpuye can be reached on 571-272-3078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DSK

KENNETHVANDERPUYE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER